

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

OR

( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 0-16211

DENTSPLY International Inc.

-----  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

39-1434669

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

221 West Philadelphia Street, York, PA 17405-0872

-----  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(717) 845-7511 (Registrant's telephone number,  
including area code)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as  
defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required  
to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during  
the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was  
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing  
requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an  
accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated  
filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check  
one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in  
Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of  
common stock, as of the latest practicable date: At July 28, 2006 the Company  
had 153,826,504 shares of Common Stock outstanding, with a par value of \$.01 per  
share.

Page 1 of 37

DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC.  
FORM 10-Q

For Quarter Ended June 30, 2006

INDEX

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 - Financial Statements (unaudited)	
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income	3
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets	4
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Unaudited Interim Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements	6
Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	33
Item 4 - Controls and Procedures	34

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings	35
Item 1A - Risk Factors	36
Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Securities and Use of Proceeds	36
Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	36
Item 6 - Exhibits	36
Signatures	37

DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Net sales	\$472,444	\$444,834	\$903,440	\$ 851,809
Cost of products sold	230,290	217,551	441,150	415,585
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross profit	242,154	227,283	462,290	436,224
Selling, general and administrative expenses	152,926	146,376	298,357	284,924
Restructuring and other costs (income) (Note 8)	2,636	(228)	7,333	40
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating income	86,592	81,135	156,600	151,260
Other income and expenses:				
Interest expense	2,408	4,446	4,502	10,773
Interest income	(2,847)	(2,159)	(5,628)	(4,469)
Other expense (income), net	965	(1,605)	451	(5,847)
	---	-----	---	-----
Income before income taxes	86,066	80,453	157,275	150,803
Provision for income taxes	26,750	22,560	47,955	43,861
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 59,316	\$ 57,893	\$ 109,320	\$ 106,942
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Earnings per common share - (Note 3):				
-Basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.66
-Diluted	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.65
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.035	\$ 0.030	\$ 0.070	\$ 0.060
Weighted average common shares outstanding (Note 3):				
-Basic	156,814	160,274	157,402	160,836
-Diluted	159,834	163,216	160,446	163,882

&lt;FN&gt;

See accompanying notes to unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements.

&lt;/FN&gt;

DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS  
(unaudited)

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(in thousands)	
<b>Assets</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 475,417	\$ 434,525
Accounts and notes receivable-trade, net	299,048	254,822
Inventories, net (Notes 1 and 6)	229,373	208,179
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	155,234	132,517
	-----	-----
Total Current Assets	1,159,072	1,030,043
Property, plant and equipment, net	321,910	316,218
Identifiable intangible assets, net	67,983	68,600
Goodwill, net	975,156	933,227
Other noncurrent assets	42,592	59,241
	-----	-----
Total Assets	\$ 2,566,713	\$ 2,407,329
	=====	=====
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 76,665	\$ 82,317
Accrued liabilities	163,845	159,846
Income taxes payable	86,949	86,859
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	388,717	412,212
	-----	-----
Total Current Liabilities	716,176	741,234
Long-term debt	413,307	270,104
Deferred income taxes	37,124	42,912
Other noncurrent liabilities	149,496	111,311
Total Liabilities	1,316,103	1,165,561
	-----	-----
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries	213	188
	---	---
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; .25 million shares authorized; no shares issued	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 200 million shares authorized; 162.8 million shares issued at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	814	814
Capital in excess of par value	169,352	170,607
Retained earnings	1,250,222	1,151,856
Accumulated other comprehensive income (Note 2)	67,746	56,454
Treasury stock, at cost, 8.3 million shares at June 30, 2006 and 5.1 million shares at December 31, 2005	(237,737)	(138,151)
	-----	-----
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,250,397	1,241,580
	-----	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 2,566,713	\$ 2,407,329
	=====	=====

<FN>

See accompanying notes to unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements.  
</FN>

DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
	(in thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net Income	\$ 109,320	\$ 106,942
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	19,959	22,326
Amortization	3,438	4,717
Share-based compensation expense	8,476	-
Restructuring and other costs	7,333	40
Other, net	(55,858)	(68,897)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	92,668	65,128
	-----	-----
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(21,781)	(20,657)
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired	(6,448)	(15,933)

Realization of swap value	-	23,508
Other, net	431	161
	---	---
Net cash used in investing activities	(27,798)	(12,921)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Increase (Decrease) in long-term borrowings	71,963	(48,249)
Increase in short-term borrowings	6,079	5,037
Cash paid for treasury stock	(146,918)	(115,416)
Cash dividends paid	(11,062)	(9,674)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	21,212	17,740
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	4,933	-
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(53,793)	(150,562)
	-----	-----
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	29,815	(42,339)
	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	40,892	(140,694)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	434,525	506,369
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 475,417	\$ 365,675
	=====	=====

<FN>

See accompanying notes to unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements.

</FN>

## DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC.

### NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2006

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial statements and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. The year-end condensed balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for interim periods have been included. Results for interim periods should not be considered indicative of results for a full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in the Company's most recent Form 10-K filed March 14, 2006.

#### NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

##### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all majority-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

##### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates, if different assumptions are made or if different conditions exist.

##### Accounts and Notes Receivable-Trade

The Company sells dental equipment and supplies both through a worldwide network of distributors and directly to end users. For customers on credit terms, the Company performs ongoing credit evaluation of those customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral from them. The

Company establishes allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. Accounts and notes receivable-trade are stated net of these allowances which were \$16.3 million and \$15.3 million at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Certain of the Company's customers are offered cash rebates based on targeted sales increases. In accounting for these rebate programs, the Company records an accrual as a reduction of net sales for the estimated rebate as sales take place throughout the year in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 01-09, "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)".

#### Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the cost of \$11.9 million, or 5%, and \$10.3 million, or 5%, respectively, of inventories was determined by the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. The cost of other inventories was determined by the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") or average cost methods. The Company establishes reserves for inventory estimated to be obsolete or unmarketable equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions.

If the FIFO method had been used to determine the cost of LIFO inventories, the amounts at which net inventories are stated would be higher than reported at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 by \$3.2 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

#### Valuation of Goodwill, Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets

Assessment of the potential impairment of goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets and other long-lived assets is an integral part of the Company's normal ongoing review of operations. Testing for potential impairment of these assets is significantly dependent on numerous assumptions and reflects management's best estimates at a particular point in time. The dynamic economic environments in which the Company's businesses operate and key economic and business assumptions with respect to projected selling prices, increased competition and introductions of new technologies can significantly affect the outcome of impairment tests. Estimates based on these assumptions may differ significantly from actual results. Changes in factors and assumptions used in assessing potential impairments can have a significant impact on both the existence and magnitude of impairments, as well as the time at which such impairments are recognized. If there are unfavorable changes in these environments or assumptions the Company may be required to recognize impairment charges. Future changes in the environment and the economic outlook for the assets being evaluated could also result in additional impairment charges being recognized. Information with respect to the Company's significant accounting policies on long-lived assets for each category of long-lived asset is discussed below.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Except for leasehold improvements, depreciation for financial reporting purposes is computed by the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives: buildings - generally 40 years and machinery and equipment - 4 to 15 years. The cost of leasehold improvements is amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life or the term of the lease. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations; replacements and major improvements are capitalized. These assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances suggest that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 ("SFAS No. 144"), "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". Impairment is based upon an evaluation of the identifiable undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the resulting charge reflects the excess of the asset's carrying cost over its fair value.

#### Identifiable Finite-lived Intangible Assets

Identifiable finite-lived intangible assets, which primarily consist of patents, trademarks and licensing agreements, are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. These assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances suggest that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 144. The Company closely monitors intangible assets related to new technology for indicators of impairment, as these assets have more risk of becoming impaired. Impairment is based upon an evaluation of the identifiable undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the resulting charge reflects the excess of the asset's carrying cost over its fair value.

#### Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 ("SFAS No. 142"), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", which requires that at least an annual impairment test be applied to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. The Company performs impairment tests on at least an annual basis using a fair value approach rather than an evaluation of the undiscounted cash flows. If impairment is identified on goodwill under SFAS No. 142, the resulting charge is determined by recalculating goodwill through a hypothetical purchase price allocation of the fair value and reducing the current carrying value to the extent it exceeds the recalculated goodwill. If impairment is identified on indefinite-lived intangibles, the resulting charge reflects the excess of the asset's carrying cost over its fair value. The Company's goodwill increased by \$41.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$975.2 million, which was due primarily to the effects of foreign currency translation of \$36.5 million and increases due to acquisition activity of \$6.3 million.

The Company performs the required annual impairment assessments, typically in the second quarter of each year, with the assessment including an evaluation of approximately 25 reporting units. The Company performed the required annual impairment tests during the second quarter of 2006, and no impairment was identified. In addition to the annual impairment test, SFAS No. 142 also requires that impairment assessments be made more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets might be impaired. As the Company learns of such changes in circumstances through periodic analysis of actual events or through the annual development of operating unit business plans in the fourth quarter of each year or otherwise, impairment assessments are performed as necessary.

#### Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 ("SFAS No. 133"), "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", on January 1, 2001. This standard, as amended by SFAS No. 138 and 149, requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value and that changes in fair value be recorded each period in current earnings or comprehensive income.

The Company employs derivative financial instruments to hedge certain anticipated transactions, firm commitments, or assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. Additionally, the Company utilizes interest rate swaps to convert floating rate debt to fixed rate, fixed rate debt to floating rate, cross currency basis swaps to convert debt denominated in one currency to another currency, and commodity swaps to fix its variable raw materials costs.

#### Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

Substantially all of the employees of the Company and its subsidiaries are covered by government or Company-sponsored defined benefit or defined contribution plans. Additionally, certain union and salaried employee groups in the United States are covered by a postretirement healthcare plan. Costs for Company-sponsored plans are based on expected return on plan assets, discount rates, employee compensation increase rates and health care cost trends. Expected return on plan assets, discount rates, and health care cost trend assumptions are particularly important when determining the Company's benefit obligations and net periodic benefit costs associated with postretirement benefits. Changes in these assumptions can impact the Company's pretax earnings. In determining the cost of postretirement benefits, certain assumptions are established annually to reflect market conditions and plan experience to

appropriately reflect the expected costs as actuarially determined. These assumptions include medical inflation trend rates, discount rates, employee turnover and mortality rates. The Company predominantly uses liability durations in establishing its discount rates, which are observed from indices of high-grade corporate bond yields in the respective economic regions of the plans. The expected return on plan assets is the weighted average long-term expected return based upon asset allocations and historic average returns for the markets where the assets are invested, principally in foreign locations.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue, net of related discounts and allowances, is recognized when the earnings process is complete. This occurs when products are shipped to or received by the customer in accordance with the terms of the agreement, title and risk of loss have been transferred, collectibility is probable and pricing is fixed or determinable. Net sales include shipping and handling costs collected from customers in connection with the sale.

A significant portion of the Company's net sales is comprised of sales of precious metals generated through its precious metal alloy product offerings. As the precious metal content of the Company's sales is largely a pass-through to customers, the Company uses its cost of precious metal purchased as a proxy for the precious metal content of sales, as the precious metal content of sales is not separately tracked and invoiced to customers. The Company believes that it is reasonable to use the cost of precious metal content purchased in this manner since precious metal alloy sale prices are typically adjusted when the prices of underlying precious metals change. The precious metals content of sales was \$48.9 million and \$44.3 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$96.5 million and \$82.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Stock Split

All share and per share data in the accompanying financial statements and notes to the financial statements are reflective of the two-for-one stock split effective July 17, 2006.

#### Stock Compensation

The Company has stock options outstanding under three stock option plans (1993 Plan, 1998 Plan and 2002 Amended and Restated Plan). Further grants can only be made under the 2002 Plan. Under the 1993 and 1998 Plans, a committee appointed by the Board of Directors granted to key employees and directors of the Company options to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price determined by such committee, but not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Options generally expire ten years after the date of grant under these plans and grants become exercisable over a period of three years after the date of grant at the rate of one-third per year, except that they become immediately exercisable upon death, disability or qualified retirement.

The 2002 Plan authorized grants of 14.0 million shares of common stock, plus any unexercised portion of canceled or terminated stock options granted under the DENTSPLY International Inc. 1993 and 1998 Stock Option Plans, subject to adjustment as follows: each January, if 7% of the outstanding common shares of the Company exceed 14.0 million, the excess becomes available for grant under the Plan. The 2002 Plan enables the Company to grant "incentive stock options" ("ISOs") within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, to key employees of the Company, and "non-qualified stock options" ("NSOs") which do not constitute ISOs to key employees and non-employee directors of the Company. The 2002 Plan also enables the Company to grant stock which is subject to certain forfeiture risks and restrictions ("Restricted Stock"), stock delivered upon vesting of units ("Restricted Stock Units") and stock appreciation rights ("SARs"). ISOs and NSOs are collectively referred to as "options". Options, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units and Stock Appreciation Rights are collectively referred to as "awards". Grants of equity compensation to key employees are solely discretionary with the Board of Directors of the Company, acting through the Human Resource Committee. Awards generally expire ten years from date of grant and become exercisable over a period of three years after the date of grant at the rate of one-third per year, except that they become immediately exercisable upon death, disability or qualified retirement. Such awards are granted at exercise prices not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the grant date.

Future option grants may only be made under the 2002 Plan, which will

include the unexercised portion of canceled or terminated options granted under the 1993 or 1998 Plans. The number of shares available for grant under the 2002 Plan as of June 30, 2006 was 8,013,000 shares. Each non-employee director receives an automatic grant of NSOs to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock on the date he or she becomes a non-employee director and an additional 20,000 options on the third anniversary of the date the non-employee director was last granted an option.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) ("SFAS No. 123R"), "Share-Based Payment", requiring that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity awards). The compensation cost is only to be recognized for the portion of the awards that are expected to vest. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for share-based compensation to employees in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 ("APB No. 25"), "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations. The Company also followed the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 ("SFAS No. 123"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 ("SFAS No. 148"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure". The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective method and, accordingly, the unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements as of and for the periods ended June 30, 2006 reflect the impact of adopting SFAS No. 123R. Also in accordance with the modified prospective method of adoption, the financial statement amounts for periods prior to January 1, 2006 presented in this Form 10-Q have not been restated to reflect the fair value method of recognizing compensation cost relating to non-qualified stock options.

In addition to the requirement to recognize compensation cost for those awards granted subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, SFAS No. 123R also requires that stock-based compensation be recognized for stock-based awards granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, but not yet vested as of the date of adoption. This compensation cost is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS No. 148 and SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, the compensation cost recognized by the Company during the periods ended June 30, 2006 included both the compensation cost associated with stock-based awards granted during the periods, as well as compensation cost associated with any unvested awards as of December 31, 2005.

The total compensation cost related to non-qualified stock options recognized in the operating results for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$4.2 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, including the cost for stock-based awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, but not yet vested as of that date. These costs were included in the cost of products sold and selling, general and administrative expenses. The associated future income tax benefit recognized during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$1.3 million and \$2.4 million, respectively. The remaining unamortized compensation cost related to 7,251,150 non-qualified stock options is \$23.5 million which will be expensed over the weighted average remaining vesting period of the options, or 1.4 years. Cash received from stock option exercises for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$8.6 million and \$21.2 million, respectively. It is the Company's practice to issue shares from treasury stock when options are exercised. The estimated cash tax benefit to be realized for the options exercised in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006, is \$4.4 million and \$7.1 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$14.2 million and \$22.4 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding stock options as of June 30, 2006 was \$119.9 million.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of short-term traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable, and requires the input of certain assumptions that require an element of judgment on the part of management to determine. The significant assumptions that require the use of management's judgment include the expected stock price volatility and the expected life of the option. For the periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company has relied on observations of both historical volatility trends as well



as implied future volatility derived from traded options of the Company with features similar to those of the options being valued. In determining the expected life of the option grants, the Company has observed the actual terms of prior grants with similar characteristics, the actual vesting schedule of the grants and has assessed the term of grants still being held by optionees.

In addition to the assumptions noted previously, the Black-Scholes option pricing model also requires the input of the expected dividend yield of the underlying equity instrument and the risk-free interest rate for a period that coincides with the expected life of the option. The expected dividend yield is based on the dividend rates at the time the option is issued. The risk-free rate for the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The following table sets forth the assumptions used to determine compensation cost for our non-qualified stock options issued during the periods ended June 30, 2006, consistent with the requirements of SFAS No. 123R:

	Weighted Average Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	Weighted Average Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
Per share fair value	\$ 15.94	\$ 15.17
Expected dividend yield	0.47%	0.49%
Risk-free interest rate	5.01%	4.74%
Expected volatility	21%	21%
Expected life (years)	4.70	4.73

Under APB No. 25 there was no compensation cost recognized for the Company's non-qualified stock options awarded in the periods ended June 30, 2005, as these non-qualified stock options had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying stock at the grant date. The following table sets forth pro forma information as if compensation cost had been determined consistent with the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for the periods ended June 30, 2005:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)	
Net income, as reported	\$ 57,893	\$ 106,942
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method, net of related tax	(2,775)	(5,548)
Pro forma net income	\$ 55,118	\$ 101,394
	=====	=====
Basic earnings per common share		
As reported	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.66
Pro forma under fair value based method	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.63
Diluted earnings per common share		
As reported	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.65
Pro forma under fair value based method	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.62

The following table sets forth the assumptions used to determine compensation cost for our non-qualified stock options issued during the periods ended June 30, 2005, consistent with the requirements of SFAS No. 123:

	Weighted Average Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Weighted Average Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
Per share fair value	\$ 14.73	\$ 14.65
Expected dividend yield	0.43%	0.44%
Risk-free interest rate	5.03%	5.03%
Expected volatility	20%	20%
Expected life (years)	5.50	5.50

The following is a summary of the status of the Plans as of June 30, 2006 and changes during the quarter then ended:

	Outstanding		Exercisable		Available for Grant Shares
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
December 31, 2005	13,860,894	\$ 20.07	9,252,218	\$ 16.93	8,050,060
Granted	192,200	28.69			(192,200)
Exercised	(1,509,154)	14.06			-
Expired/Canceled	(154,976)	25.10			154,976
	-----				-----
June 30, 2006	<u>12,388,964</u>	\$ 20.87	7,958,641	\$ 17.65	<u>8,012,836</u>

The weighted average remaining contractual term of all outstanding options is 6.8 years and the weighted average remaining contractual term of exercisable options is 5.6 years.

In addition, SFAS No. 123R amended SFAS No. 95 ("SFAS No. 95"), "Statement of Cash Flows", to require that excess tax benefits from exercised options be reported as a financing cash inflow rather than as a reduction of taxes paid. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company recorded all tax benefits from deductions in excess of compensation expense as an operating cash flow in accordance with SFAS No. 95. Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company began to reflect the tax benefits from deductions in excess of compensation expense as an inflow from financing activities in the Statement of Cash Flows rather than as an operating cash flow as in prior periods. As the Company has adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective method, no adjustment has been made to the prior periods reported in this Form 10-Q.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes", which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in the financial statements, the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective beginning January 1, 2007 with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on the financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets". SFAS No. 156, which is an amendment to SFAS No. 140, addresses the recognition and measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities and provides an approach to simplify the efforts to obtain hedge-like (offset) accounting. SFAS No. 156 is effective for financial years beginning after September 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted. As we do not currently have servicing assets recorded on our balance sheet, SFAS No. 156 will not have any impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments", which eliminates the exemption from applying SFAS No. 133 to interests in securitized financial assets so that similar instruments are accounted for similarly regardless of the form of the instruments. SFAS No. 155 also allows the election of fair value measurement at acquisition, at issuance, or when a previously recognized financial instrument is subject to a remeasurement event. Adoption is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the application of this standard to have a material impact on the

Company's financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued an exposure draft that seeks to make improvements to SFAS No. 132R, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans". The proposed amendment would not alter the basic approach to measuring plan assets, benefit obligations, or net periodic benefit cost (expense). Major changes to SFAS No. 132R proposed in the amendment include 1) the recognition of an asset or liability for the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit plan, 2) the recognition of actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs and credits in other comprehensive income, 3) measurement of plan assets and benefit obligations as of the employer's balance sheet date, rather than at interim measurement dates as currently allowed, and 4) disclosure of additional information concerning actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs and credits recognized in other comprehensive income. The amendment's requirement for public companies to recognize on their balance sheet the asset or liability associated with the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit pension plan would take effect for years ending after December 15, 2006. Companies would be required to synchronize their measurement dates to the end of their fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the exposure draft on its financial statements.

NOTE 2 - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands)			
Net income	\$ 59,316	\$ 57,893	\$ 109,320	\$ 106,942
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	34,778	(57,512)	48,884	(105,412)
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	(96)	46	41	60
Net gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments	(30,730)	15,290	(37,633)	21,356
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total comprehensive income	\$ 63,268	\$ 15,717	\$ 120,612	\$ 22,946
	=====	=====	=====	=====

During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, foreign currency translation adjustments included currency translation gains of \$42.6 million and partially offset by losses of \$7.9 million on the Company's loans designated as hedges of net investments. During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, foreign currency translation adjustments included translation losses of \$83.6 million, partially offset by gains of \$26.1 million on the Company's loans designated as hedges of net investments. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, foreign currency translation adjustments included net translation gains of \$60.7 million, offset by losses of \$11.8 million on the Company's loans designated as hedges of net investments. During the six months ended June 30, 2005 foreign currency translation adjustments included net translation losses of \$142.4 million, offset by gains of \$37.0 million on the Company's loans designated as hedges of net investments.

The balances included in Accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(in thousands)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 105,098	\$ 56,214
Net gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments	(22,321)	15,312
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	405	364
Minimum pension liability	(15,436)	(15,436)
	-----	-----
	\$ 67,746	\$ 56,454
	=====	=====

The cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments included translation gains of \$188.7 million and \$127.9 million as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, offset by losses of \$83.6 million and \$71.7

million, respectively, on loans designated as hedges of net investments.

NOTE 3 - EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The dilutive effect of outstanding options and restricted stock is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the treasury stock method, which in the current period includes consideration of stock-based compensation required by SFAS No. 123R. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Basic Earnings Per Common Share Computation				
Net income	\$59,316	\$57,893	\$109,320	\$106,942
Common shares outstanding	156,814	160,274	157,402	160,836
Earnings per common share - basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.66
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share Computation				
Net income	\$59,316	\$57,893	\$109,320	\$106,942
Common shares outstanding	156,814	160,274	157,402	160,836
Incremental shares from assumed exercise of dilutive options	3,020	2,942	3,044	3,046
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total shares	159,834	163,216	160,446	163,882
Earnings per common share - diluted	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.65
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Options to purchase 23,064 and 114,600 shares of common stock that were outstanding during the quarter ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share since the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would be antidilutive. Antidilutive options outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were 11,596 and 114,600, respectively.

NOTE 4 - BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

During the first half of 2006, the Company acquired a small dental business in Asia, an implant distribution business in Italy, and the remaining 40% interest of a dental manufacturing business in Brazil (the Company had owned 60% of this business since 2001). The aggregate purchase price for these three transactions was approximately \$6.9 million. The purchase agreement for the business in Asia also provides for an additional payment to be made based upon the operating performance of the business during the five-year period ending in February 2011. The results of operations for the Asian and Italian businesses have been included in the accompanying financial statements since the effective date of the transactions, and the purchase prices have been allocated on the basis of preliminary estimates of the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. As the Company had previously owned a controlling 60% interest in the Brazilian business, the balance sheet and the results of operations of that business have been consolidated in the Company's financial statements since 2001, with the resulting immaterial minority interest in net income or net loss being removed through Other income, net and the minority share of equity being shown on the balance sheet in Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries.

NOTE 5 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131

("SFAS No. 131"), "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information". SFAS No. 131 establishes standards for disclosing information about reportable segments in financial statements. The Company has numerous operating businesses covering a wide range of products and geographic regions, primarily serving the professional dental market. Professional dental products represented approximately 98% of sales for the periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

The operating businesses are combined into operating groups which have overlapping product offerings, geographical presence, customer bases, distribution channels, and regulatory oversight. These operating groups are considered the Company's reportable operating segments under SFAS No. 131 as the Company's chief operating decision-maker regularly reviews financial results at the operating group level and uses this information to manage the Company's operations. The accounting policies of the segments are consistent with those described for the consolidated financial statements in the summary of significant accounting policies (see Note 1). The Company measures segment income for reporting purposes as operating profit before restructuring, interest and taxes. A description of the services provided within each of the Company's three reportable segments is provided below.

In January 2006, the Company reorganized its operating group structure into three operating groups from the four groups under the prior management structure, as a result of certain organizational changes in the first quarter of 2006. The reportable operating segment information below reflects this revised structure for all periods shown.

A description of the activities provided within each of the Company's three reportable operating segments follows:

U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa Consumable Business/Canada

This business group includes responsibility for the design, manufacturing, sales, and distribution for certain small equipment, chairside consumable products and dental anesthetics in the U.S., Europe, the Commonwealth of Independent States ("CIS"), Middle East, Africa and the sales and distribution of substantially all Company products in Canada. This business group also has responsibility for the sales and distribution of endodontic products in the U.K. and endodontic and laboratory products in France, Italy, Middle East, Africa, and the CIS.

Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/Non-Dental

This business group includes responsibility for the design, manufacture, and/or sales and distribution of dental anesthetics, chairside consumable and laboratory products in Brazil. This business group also has responsibility for the sales and distribution of all Company dental products sold in Australia and Latin America. Additionally, this business group includes the responsibility for the design and manufacturing for endodontic products, and is responsible for sales and distribution of all Company endodontic products in the U.S., Canada, Switzerland, Benelux, Scandinavia, and Eastern Europe, and certain endodontic products in Germany. This business group is also responsible for the Company's non-dental business.

Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/Orthodontics/Japan/Asia

This business group includes the responsibility for the design and manufacture of laboratory products in the U.S., Puerto Rico, Germany, The Netherlands and China and for the sales and distribution for these products in the U.S., Germany, Austria, the U.K., Benelux, Scandinavia, Iberia, Eastern Europe, and certain products in Italy. Additionally, this business group is responsible for the design, manufacture, worldwide sales and distribution of substantially all of the Company's dental implant and bone generation products and the worldwide sales and distribution of the Company's orthodontic products. This business group is also responsible for sales and distribution of all Company products throughout Asia and Japan.

Significant interdependencies exist among the Company's operations in certain geographic areas. Inter-group sales are at prices intended to provide a reasonable profit to the manufacturing unit after recovery of all manufacturing costs and to provide a reasonable profit for purchasing locations after coverage of marketing and general and administrative costs.

Generally, the Company evaluates performance of the operating groups based on the groups' operating income and net third party sales, excluding precious

metal content.

The following tables set forth information about the Company's operating groups for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

Third Party Net Sales

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands)			
U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa Consumable Business/ Canada	\$ 162,784	\$ 148,509	\$ 302,674	\$ 290,185
Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/ Non-Dental	95,676	93,837	185,286	181,396
Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/ Orthodontics/Japan/Asia	215,172	203,218	417,494	381,885
All Other (a)	(1,188)	(730)	(2,014)	(1,657)
	-----	----	-----	-----
Total	\$ 472,444	\$ 444,834	\$ 903,440	\$ 851,809
	=====	=====	=====	=====

<FN>

(a) Includes: operating expenses of one distribution warehouse not managed by named segments, Corporate and inter-segment eliminations.

</FN>

Third Party Net Sales, excluding precious metal content

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands)			
U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa Consumable Business/ Canada	\$ 161,973	148,019	\$ 301,177	\$ 289,265
Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/ Non-Dental	95,061	93,324	184,195	180,491
Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/ Orthodontics/Japan/Asia	167,679	159,592	323,581	301,442
All Other (a)	(1,189)	(710)	(2,014)	(1,657)
	-----	----	-----	-----
Total excluding Precious Metal Content	423,524	400,225	806,939	769,541
Precious Metal Content of Sales	48,920	44,328	96,501	82,268
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total including Precious Metal Content	\$ 472,444	\$ 444,553	\$ 903,440	\$ 851,809
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Intersegment Net Sales

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(in thousands)			
U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa Consumable Business/ Canada	\$ 34,004	\$ 33,914	\$ 62,649	\$ 64,662
Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/ Non-Dental	19,434	17,342	36,700	33,921
Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/ Orthodontics/Japan/Asia	9,288	7,586	18,347	14,290
All Other (b)	33,722	34,340	63,745	68,338
Eliminations	(96,448)	(93,182)	(181,441)	(181,211)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	==	==	==	==

<FN>

(a) Includes: operating expenses of one distribution warehouse not managed by named segments, Corporate and inter-segment eliminations.

(b) Includes: one distribution warehouse not managed by named segments and Corporate.

</FN>

#### Segment Operating Income

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(in thousands)			
U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa				
Consumable Business/ Canada	\$ 43,017	\$ 29,784	\$ 72,772	\$ 56,662
Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/ Non-Dental	39,384	38,249	78,015	77,328
Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/ Orthodontics/Japan/Asia	29,440	29,813	57,521	50,088
All Other (a)	(22,613)	(16,939)	(44,375)	(32,778)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Segment Operating Income	89,228	80,907	163,933	151,300
Reconciling Items:				
Restructuring and other costs	2,636	(228)	7,333	40
Interest Expense	2,408	4,446	4,502	10,773
Interest Income	(2,847)	(2,159)	(5,628)	(4,469)
Other (income) expense, net	965	(1,605)	451	(5,847)
	---	-----	---	-----
Income before income taxes	\$ 86,066	\$ 80,453	\$ 157,275	\$ 150,803
	=====	=====	=====	=====

#### Assets

	June 30,	December 31,
	2006	2005
	(in thousands)	
U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa		
Consumable Business/ Canada	\$ 485,448	\$ 458,938
Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/ Non-Dental	586,854	566,798
Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/ Orthodontics/Japan/Asia	833,986	766,410
All Other (a)	660,425	615,183
	-----	-----
Total	\$2,566,713	\$2,407,329
	=====	=====

(a) Includes: operating expenses of one distribution warehouse not managed by named segments, Corporate and inter-segment eliminations.

#### NOTE 6 - INVENTORIES, NET

Inventories, net consist of the following:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2006	2005
	(in thousands)	
Finished goods	\$ 136,731	\$ 127,569
Work-in-process	43,088	40,887
Raw materials and supplies	49,554	39,723
	-----	-----
	\$ 229,373	\$ 208,179
	=====	=====

#### NOTE 7 - BENEFIT PLANS

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the Company's benefit plans are as follows:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	2005	June 30, 2006	2005
	(in thousands)			
Service cost	\$ 1,644	\$ 1,379	\$ 23	\$ (35)
Interest cost	1,499	1,905	196	(184)
Expected return on plan assets	(955)	(910)	-	-
Net amortization and deferral	348	279	(119)	116
	---	---	----	---
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 2,536	\$ 2,653	\$ 100	\$ (103)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Six Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	2005	June 30, 2006	2005
Service cost	\$ 3,226	\$ 2,874	\$ 46	\$ 67
Interest cost	2,942	3,468	392	356
Expected return on plan assets	(1,864)	(1,851)	-	-
Net amortization and deferral	674	557	(238)	(223)
	---	---	----	----
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 4,978	\$ 5,048	\$ 200	\$ 200
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Information related to the funding of the Company's benefit plans for 2006 is as follows:

	Pension Benefits	Other Postretirement Benefits
	(in thousands)	
Actual, June 30, 2006	\$ 2,993	\$ 368
Projected for the remainder of the year	3,153	736
	-----	---
Total for year	\$ 6,146	\$ 1,104
	=====	=====

#### NOTE 8 - RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER COSTS

##### Restructuring Costs

During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company recorded restructuring costs of \$2.4 million, primarily related to the shut down of the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility outside of Chicago. In addition, these costs related to the consolidation of certain U.S. production facilities in order to better leverage the Company's resources. The primary objective of these initiatives is to reduce costs and obtain operational efficiencies. The charges recorded in 2005 were severance costs. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded charges of \$6.9 million (\$2.3 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2006) for additional severance costs, contract termination costs and other restructuring costs. The other restructuring costs were primarily costs incurred during the shut down phase of the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility closure such as utilities, maintenance and consulting



expenses. The plans include the elimination of approximately 130 administrative and manufacturing positions, all within the U.S., with 63 of these positions having been eliminated as of June 30, 2006. These plans are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2006. The major components of these charges and the remaining outstanding balances at June 30, 2006 are as follows:

	2005 Provisions	Amounts Applied 2005	2006 Provisions (in thousands)	Amounts Applied 2006	Balance June 30, 2006
Severance	\$ 2,400	\$ -	\$ 2,330	\$ (2,709)	\$ 2,021
Lease/contract terminations	-	-	212	(212)	-
Other restructuring costs	-	-	4,369	(4,369)	-
	\$ 2,400	\$ -	\$ 6,911	\$ (7,290)	\$ 2,021
	=====	==	=====	=====	=====

During the third and fourth quarters of 2004, the Company recorded restructuring and other costs of \$5.8 million. These costs were primarily related to the creation of a European Shared Services Center in Yverdon, Switzerland, which resulted in the identification of redundant personnel in the Company's European accounting functions. In addition, these costs related to the consolidation of certain sales/customer service and distribution facilities in Europe and Japan. The primary objective of these restructuring initiatives is to improve operational efficiencies and to reduce costs within the related businesses. Included in this charge were severance costs of \$4.9 million and lease/contract termination costs of \$0.9 million. In addition, the Company recorded income of \$0.1 million during both the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, including income of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million during the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related primarily to adjustments to the severance provisions. The plans include the elimination of approximately 105 administrative and manufacturing positions primarily in Germany. Certain of these positions need to be replaced at the European Shared Services Center and therefore the net reduction in positions is expected to be approximately 55. These plans are expected to be complete by the end of 2006. As of June 30, 2006, approximately 37 of these positions have been eliminated. The major components of these charges and the remaining outstanding balances at June 30, 2006 are as follows:

	2004 Provisions	Amounts Applied 2004	2005 Provisions	Change in Estimate 2005 (in thousands)	Amounts Applied 2005	2006 Provisions	Amounts Applied 2006	Balance June 30, 2006
Severance	\$ 4,877	\$ (583)	\$ 322	\$ (1,168)	\$ (1,740)	\$ (93)	\$ (214)	\$1,401
Lease/contract terminations	881	-	190	-	(435)	-	(131)	505
	\$ 5,758	\$ (583)	\$ 512	\$ (1,168)	\$ (2,175)	\$ (93)	\$ (345)	\$1,906
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

#### NOTE 9 - DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

##### Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company's activities expose it to a variety of market risks which primarily include the risks related to the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices. These financial exposures are monitored and managed by the Company as part of its overall risk-management program. The objective of this risk management program is to reduce the potentially adverse effects that these market risks may have on the Company's operating results.

Certain of the Company's inventory purchases are denominated in foreign currencies which exposes the Company to market risk associated with exchange rate movements. The Company's policy generally is to hedge major foreign currency transaction exposures through foreign exchange forward contracts. These

contracts are entered into with major financial institutions thereby minimizing the risk of credit loss. In addition, the Company's investments in foreign subsidiaries are denominated in foreign currencies, which creates exposures to changes in exchange rates. The Company uses debt and derivatives denominated in the applicable foreign currency as a means of hedging a portion of this risk.

With the Company's significant level of variable rate long-term debt, changes in the interest rate environment can have a major impact on the Company's earnings, depending upon its interest rate exposure. As a result, the Company manages its interest rate exposure with the use of interest rate swaps, when appropriate, based upon market conditions.

The manufacturing of some of the Company's products requires the use of commodities which are subject to market fluctuations. In order to limit the unanticipated earnings changes from such market fluctuations, the Company selectively enters into commodity price swaps for certain materials used in the production of its products. Additionally, the Company uses non-derivative methods, such as the precious metal consignment agreement to effectively hedge commodity risks.

#### Cash Flow Hedges

The Company uses interest rate swaps to convert a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. As of June 30, 2006, the Company has two groups of significant variable rate to fixed rate interest rate swaps. One of the groups of swaps was entered into in February 2002, has notional amounts totaling 12.6 billion Japanese yen, and effectively converts the underlying variable interest rates to an average fixed rate of 1.6% for a term of ten years. The other swap, effective March, 2005, has a notional amount of 65 million Swiss francs, and effectively converts the underlying variable interest rates to a fixed rate of 4.2% for a term of seven years.

The Company selectively enters into commodity price swaps to effectively fix certain variable raw material costs. At June 30, 2006, the Company had swaps in place to purchase 540 troy ounces of platinum bullion for use in the production of its impression material products. The average fixed rate of this agreement is \$1,112.71 per troy ounce. In addition the Company had swaps in place to purchase 80,000 troy ounces of silver bullion for use in the production of its amalgam products at an average fixed rate of \$12.51 per troy ounce. The Company generally hedges up to 80% of its projected annual needs related to these products.

The Company enters into forward exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exposure of its anticipated purchases of certain inventory from Japan. In addition, exchange contracts are used by certain of the Company's subsidiaries to hedge intercompany inventory purchases which are denominated in non-local currencies. The forward contracts that are used in these programs mature in twelve months or less. The Company generally hedges up to 80% of its anticipated purchases from the supplying locations.

As of June 30, 2006, \$0.3 million of deferred net losses on derivative instruments recorded in "Accumulated other comprehensive gain (loss)" are expected to be reclassified to current earnings during the next twelve months. This reclassification is primarily due to the sale of inventory that includes previously hedged purchases. The maximum term over which the Company is hedging exposures to variability of cash flows (for all forecasted transactions, excluding interest payments on variable-rate debt) is eighteen months. Overall, the derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are nearly 100% effective.

#### > Fair Value Hedges

The Company uses interest rate swaps to convert a portion of its fixed rate debt to variable rate debt. In December 2001, the Company issued 350 million in Eurobonds at a fixed rate of 5.75% maturing in December 2006 to partially finance the Degussa Dental acquisition. Coincident with the issuance of the Eurobonds, the Company entered into two integrated transactions: (a) an interest rate swap agreement with notional amounts totaling Euro 350 million which converted the 5.75% fixed rate Euro-denominated financing to a variable rate (based on the London Interbank Borrowing Rate) Euro-denominated financing; and (b) a cross-currency basis swap which converted this variable rate Euro-denominated financing to variable rate U.S. dollar-denominated financing.

The Euro 350 million interest rate swap agreement was designated as a fair value hedge of the Euro 350 million in fixed rate debt pursuant to SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". In accordance with SFAS No. 133, the interest rate swap and underlying Eurobond have been marked-to-market via the income statement. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the accumulated fair value of the interest rate swap was \$1.9 million and \$5.3 million, respectively, and was recorded in Prepaid expenses and other current assets. The notional amount of the underlying Eurobond was increased by a corresponding amount at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

From inception through the first quarter of 2003, the cross-currency element of the integrated transaction was not designated as a hedge and changes in the fair value of the cross-currency element of the integrated transaction were marked-to-market in the income statement, offsetting the impact of the change in exchange rates on the Eurobonds that were also recorded in the income statement. In the first quarter of 2003, the Company amended the cross-currency element of the integrated transaction to realize the \$ 51.8 million of accumulated value of the cross-currency swap. The amendment eliminated the final payment (at a fixed rate of \$.90) of \$315 million by the Company in exchange for the final payment of Euro 350 million by the counterparty in return for the counterparty paying the Company 4.29% on \$315 million for the remaining term of the agreement, or approximately \$14.0 million on an annual basis. Other cash flows associated with the cross-currency element of the integrated transaction, included the Company's obligation to pay on \$315 million LIBOR plus approximately 1.34% and the counterparty's obligation to pay on Euro 350 million LIBOR plus approximately 1.47%, remained unchanged by the amendment.

No gain or loss was recognized upon the amendment of the cross currency element of the integrated transaction, as the interest rate of 4.29% was established to ensure that the fair value of the cash flow streams before and after amendment were equivalent. As a result of the amendment, the Company became economically exposed to the impact of exchange rates on the final principal payment on the Euro 350 million Eurobonds and designated the Euro 350 million Eurobonds as a hedge of net investment, on the date of the amendment and thus the impact of translation changes related to the final principal payment are recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax effects.

In June 2005, the Company terminated the cross currency element of the integrated transaction in response to the rapid rise in USD short-term interest rates, converting the debt back into a Euro variable instrument. At termination in June, 2005, the accumulated fair value of the cross-currency element of the integrated transaction was \$20.2 million and was received in cash. The cash received under this agreement is included in Realization of swap value on the statement of cash flows which is an investing activity.

#### Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations

The Company has numerous investments in foreign subsidiaries. The net assets of these subsidiaries are exposed to volatility in currency exchange rates. Currently, the Company uses non-derivative financial instruments, including foreign currency denominated debt held at the parent company level and long-term intercompany loans, for which settlement is not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future and derivative financial instruments to hedge some of this exposure. Translation gains and losses related to the net assets of the foreign subsidiaries are offset by gains and losses in the non-derivative and derivative financial instruments designated as hedges of net investments.

In the first quarter of 2005, the Company entered into cross currency interest rate swaps with a notional principal value of Swiss francs 457.5 million paying 3 month Swiss franc Libor and receiving 3 month U.S. dollar Libor on \$384.4 million. In the first quarter of 2006, the Company entered into additional cross currency interest rate swaps with a notional principal value of Swiss francs 55.5 million paying 3 month Swiss franc Libor and receiving 3 month U.S. dollar Libor on \$42.0 million. Additionally, in the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company entered into cross currency interest rate swaps with a notional principal value of Euro 358 million paying 3 month Euro Libor and receiving 3 month U.S. dollar Libor on \$419.7 million. The Swiss franc and Euro cross currency interest rate swaps are designated as net investment hedges of the Swiss and Euro denominated net assets. The interest rate differential is recognized in earnings as it is accrued, the foreign currency revaluation is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax effects.

The fair value of these swap agreements is the estimated amount the Company would (pay) receive at the reporting date, taking into account the effective

interest rates and foreign exchange rates. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the estimated net fair values of the swap agreements were (\$30.6) million and \$32.8 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had Euro-denominated, Swiss franc-denominated, and Japanese yen-denominated debt and cross currency interest rate swaps (at the parent company level) to hedge the currency exposure related to a designated portion of the net assets of its European, Swiss, and Japanese subsidiaries. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the accumulated translation gains on investments in foreign subsidiaries, primarily denominated in Euros, Swiss francs and Japanese yen, net of these net investment debt hedges, were \$105.1 million and \$56.2 million, respectively, which was included in Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax effects.

Other

The aggregate net fair value of the Company's derivative instruments at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was (\$32.2) million and \$29.2 million, respectively.

In accordance with SFAS 52, "Foreign Currency Translation", the Company utilizes long-term intercompany loans, for which settlement is not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future, to eliminate foreign currency transaction exposures of certain foreign subsidiaries. Net gains or losses related to these long-term intercompany loans are included in Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax effects.

#### NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

DENTSPLY and its subsidiaries are from time to time parties to lawsuits arising out of their respective operations. The Company believes it is unlikely that pending litigation to which DENTSPLY is a party will have a material adverse effect upon its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 1995, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice initiated an antitrust investigation regarding the policies and conduct undertaken by the Company's Trubyte Division with respect to the distribution of artificial teeth and related products. On January 5, 1999, the Department of Justice filed a Complaint against the Company in the U.S. District Court in Wilmington, Delaware alleging that the Company's tooth distribution practices violate the antitrust laws and seeking an order for the Company to discontinue its practices. The trial in the government's case was held in April and May 2002 and subsequently, the Judge entered a decision that the Company's tooth distribution practices do not violate the antitrust laws. The Department of Justice appealed this decision and the Third Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the decision of the District Court. The Company's petition to the U.S. Supreme Court asking it to review the Third Circuit Court decision was denied. The District Court, upon the direction of the Court of Appeals, has issued an injunction preventing DENTSPLY from taking action to restrict its tooth dealers from adding new competitive teeth lines. This decision relates only to the distribution of artificial teeth in the U.S. and, notwithstanding the outcome of this case, the Company is confident that it can continue to develop this business.

Subsequent to the filing of the Department of Justice Complaint in 1999, several private party class actions were filed based on allegations similar to those in the Department of Justice case, on behalf of laboratories, and denture patients in seventeen states who purchased Trubyte teeth or products containing Trubyte teeth. These cases were transferred to the U.S. District Court in Wilmington, Delaware. The private party suits seek damages in an unspecified amount. The Court has granted the Company's Motion on the lack of standing of the laboratory and patient class actions to pursue damage claims. The Plaintiffs in the laboratory case appealed this decision to the Third Circuit and the Court upheld the decision of the District Court in dismissing the Plaintiffs' damages claims, with the exception of allowing the Plaintiffs to pursue a damage claim based on a theory of resale price maintenance agreements between the Company and its tooth dealers. The Plaintiffs' petition to the U.S. Supreme Court asking it to review this decision of the Third Circuit was denied. Also, private party class actions on behalf of indirect purchasers were filed in California and Florida state courts. The California and Florida cases have been dismissed by the Plaintiffs following the decision by the Federal District Court Judge issued in August 2003.

On March 27, 2002, a Complaint was filed in Alameda County, California

(which was transferred to Los Angeles County) by Bruce Glover, D.D.S. alleging, inter alia, breach of express and implied warranties, fraud, unfair trade practices and negligent misrepresentation in the Company's manufacture and sale of Advance(R) cement. The Complaint seeks damages in an unspecified amount for costs incurred in repairing dental work in which the Advance(R) product allegedly failed. The Judge entered an Order granting class certification, as an Opt-in class (this means that after Notice of the class action is sent to possible class members, a party will have to determine if they meet the class definition and take affirmative action in order to join the class) on the claims of breach of warranty and fraud. In general, the Class is defined as California dentists who purchased and used Advance(R) cement and were required, because of failures of the cement, to repair or reperform dental procedures for which they were not paid. The Notice of the class action was sent on February 23, 2005 to the approximately 29,000 dentists licensed to practice in California during the relevant period and a total of 166 dentists have opted into the class action. The plaintiffs have appealed to the Appellate Court to convert the claim to an opt-out claim from its current status as an opt-in claim. The Advance(R) cement product was sold from 1994 through 2000 and total sales in the United States during that period were approximately \$5.2 million. The Company's primary level insurance carrier has confirmed coverage for the breach of warranty claims in this matter up to one million dollars, their asserted policy limits. Litigation has been initiated with the Company's primary and excess insurance carriers regarding the level and coverage of their respective insurance policies for this case.

On June 18, 2004, Marvin Weinstat, DDS and Richard Nathan, DDS filed a class action suit in San Francisco County, California alleging that the Company misrepresented that its Cavitron(R) ultrasonic scalers are suitable for use in oral surgical procedures. The Complaint, which has been amended twice, seeks a recall of the product and refund of its purchase price to dentists who have purchased it for use in oral surgery. The Court certified the case as a class action on June 15, 2006 with respect to the breach of warranty and unfair business practices claims. The class is defined as California dental professionals who purchased and used one or more Cavitron ultrasonic scalers for the performance of oral surgical procedures on their patients. The Company is presently preparing to file a motion for decertification.

#### DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC.

#### Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Certain statements made by the Company, including without limitation, statements containing the words "plans", "anticipates", "believes", "expects", or words of similar import constitute forward-looking statements which are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Investors are cautioned that forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties which may materially affect the Company's business and prospects, and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors discussed herein and within the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

#### OVERVIEW

Dentsply International Inc. is the world's largest manufacturer of professional dental products. The Company is headquartered in the United States, and operates in more than 120 other countries, principally through its foreign subsidiaries. While the United States and Europe are the Company's largest markets, the Company serves all of the major professional dental markets worldwide.

The principal benchmarks used by the Company in evaluating its business are: (1) internal growth in the United States, Europe and all other regions; (2) operating margins of each operating segment, (3) the development, introduction and contribution of innovative new products; (4) growth through acquisition; and (5) continued focus on controlling costs and enhancing efficiency. The Company defines "internal growth" as the increase in net sales from period to period, excluding precious metal content, the impact of changes in currency exchange rates, and the net sales, for a period of twelve months following the transaction date, of businesses that have been acquired or divested.

Management believes that an average overall internal growth rate of 4-6% is a long-term sustainable rate for the Company. This annualized growth rate expectation typically includes approximately 1-2% of price increases. The Company typically implements most of its price changes in the third or fourth quarters of the year. These price changes, other marketing and promotional programs, which are offered to customers from time to time in the ordinary course of business, and the management of inventory levels by distributors, may impact sales levels in a given period. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company's overall internal growth was approximately 5.8% compared to 2.0% for the full year 2005. Internal growth rates in the United States (43.0% of sales) and Europe (37.4% of sales), the largest dental markets in the world, were 3.7% and 9.0%, respectively, during the first six months of 2006 compared to 5.2% and negative 2.7%, respectively, for the full year 2005. As discussed further within the Results of Continuing Operations, the lower sales in Europe during 2005 were primarily due to issues encountered upon implementation of a new dental reimbursement program which became effective January 1, 2005 in Germany, the Company's most significant market in this region. The internal growth rate in all other regions during the six months ended June 30, 2006, which represents approximately 19.6% of sales, was 4.1%, compared to 4.0 % for the full year 2005. Among the other regions, the Asian region, excluding Japan, has historically been one of our highest growth markets and management believes it represents a long-term growth opportunity for the industry and the Company. Also within the other regions is the Japanese market, which represents the third largest dental market in the world behind the United States and Germany. Although Japan's dental market growth has been weak in the past few years, as it closely parallels its economic growth, the Company also views this market as an important long-term growth opportunity, both in terms of a recovery in the Japanese economy and the opportunity to increase market share. There can be no assurance that the Company's assumptions concerning the growth rates in its markets or the dental market generally will be correct and if such rates are less than expected, the Company's projected growth rates and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Product innovation is a key component of the Company's overall growth strategy. Historically, the Company has introduced in excess of twenty new products each year. During 2005, over 30 new products were introduced around the world and the Company expects 20 to 25 new products to be introduced in 2006. Through the first half of 2006, the Company has introduced more than 15 new products and expects to meet or exceed its target for the 2006 year.

Also, new advances in technology are anticipated to have a significant influence on future products in dentistry. As a result, the Company has pursued several research and development initiatives to support this technological development, including partnerships and collaborations with various research institutions and dental schools. In addition, the Company licenses and purchases technologies developed by other third parties. Although the Company believes these activities will lead to new innovative dental products, they involve new technologies and there can be no assurance that commercialized products will be developed.

Although the professional dental market in which the Company operates has experienced consolidation, it is still a fragmented industry. The Company continues to focus on opportunities to expand the Company's product offerings through acquisitions. Management believes that there will continue to be adequate opportunities to participate as a consolidator in the industry for the foreseeable future. As further discussed in Note 4 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, during 2006 the Company has purchased several small businesses.

The Company also remains focused on reducing costs and achieving operational efficiencies. Management expects to continue to consolidate operations or functions and reduce the cost of those operations and functions while improving service levels. In addition, the Company remains focused on enhancing efficiency through expanded use of technology and process improvement initiatives. The Company believes that the benefits from these opportunities will improve the cost structure and offset areas of rising costs such as energy, benefits, regulatory oversight and compliance and financial reporting.

As previously announced in early 2006, the Company made the decision to close its Chicago-based pharmaceutical manufacturing facility and to pursue the outsourcing of the production of the injectable dental anesthetic products and the non-injectable Oraqix(R) products that were to be produced at the plant. The Company expects that the decision to shut down the anesthetics manufacturing facility will immediately improve short and mid-term cash flows and eliminate the uncertainty concerning FDA approval of the facility. While the Company has

had supply disruptions in 2005 and the first half of 2006, and anticipates some supply disruptions in the future in relation to the supply of the injectable dental anesthetic products, the Company currently has contract manufacturing relationships for the supply of the injectable dental anesthetic products for most of the markets served by the Company. As there are a limited number of suppliers for the injectable dental anesthetic products sold by the Company, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain an adequate supply of its injectable dental anesthetic products in the future. The Company currently has supply agreements in place for the supply of the non-injectable Oraqix(R) products and has not experienced supply disruptions to date, nor does it anticipate supply disruptions of the Oraqix(R) products in the future.

The Company is pursuing the sale of the facility and the machinery and equipment associated with the facility and has classified these assets as held for sale with the expectation that the assets will be sold within one year. These assets are included in "Prepaid expenses and other current assets" on the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2006. Additionally, as a result of the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility, during the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded pre-tax charges of \$6.5 million for severance costs, contract termination costs and other restructuring costs associated with the closure of the facility (See also Note 8 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements). These charges are in addition to the restructuring charges of \$2.3 million that were recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005 related to employee severance cost for which the Company was contractually obligated. The Company expects pre-tax restructuring charges in the range of \$1 million to \$3 million for the remainder of 2006, which will be incurred evenly throughout the second half of the year or until the assets are sold. These costs are primarily related to additional contract termination costs, severance costs and utility costs during the shut down period.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 COMPARED TO QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

Net Sales

The discussions below summarize the Company's sales growth, excluding precious metals content, from internal growth and net acquisition growth and highlights the impact of foreign currency translation. These disclosures of net sales growth provide the reader with sales results on a comparable basis between periods.

As the presentation of net sales, excluding precious metal content, could be considered a measure not calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (a non-GAAP measure), the Company provides the following reconciliation of net sales to net sales, excluding precious metal content. Our definitions and calculations of net sales, excluding precious metal content, and other operating measures derived using net sales, excluding precious metal content, may not necessarily be the same as those used by other companies.

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
	(in millions)	
Net Sales	\$ 472.4	\$ 444.8
Precious Metal Content of Sales	(48.9)	(44.3)
	-----	-----
Net Sales Excluding Precious Metal Content	\$ 423.5	\$ 400.5
	=====	=====

Management believes that the presentation of net sales, excluding precious metal content, provides useful information to investors because a significant portion of DENTSPLY's net sales is comprised of sales of precious metals generated through sales of the Company's precious metal alloy products, which are used by third parties to construct crown and bridge materials. Due to the fluctuations of precious metal prices and because the precious metal content of the Company's sales is largely a pass-through to customers and has minimal effect on earnings, DENTSPLY reports sales both with and without precious metal content to show the Company's performance independent of precious metal price volatility and to enhance comparability of performance between periods. The

Company uses its cost of precious metal purchased as a proxy for the precious metal content of sales, as the precious metal content of sales is not separately tracked and invoiced to customers. The Company believes that it is reasonable to use the cost of precious metal content purchased in this manner since precious metal alloy sale prices are adjusted when the prices of underlying precious metals change.

As described in the Stock Split section of Note 1 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, all share and per share data are reflective of the two-for-one stock split effective July 17, 2006.

Net sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 increased \$27.6 million, or 6.2%, from the same period in 2005 to \$472.4 million. Net sales, excluding precious metal content, increased \$23.0 million, or 5.8%, to \$423.5 million. Sales growth excluding precious metal content, was comprised of 5.2% of internal growth and 0.3% of foreign currency translation and 0.3% related to acquisitions. The 5.2% internal growth was comprised of 6.1% in the United States, 5.0% in Europe and 3.5% for all other regions combined.

The internal sales growth, excluding precious metal content, in the United States of 6.1% was a result of strong growth in dental consumable product category, continued moderate growth in the specialty dental product category (endodontic, implant and orthodontic products), partially offset by lower sales in the dental laboratory product category. As noted previously, sales in a period may be impacted by price increases, marketing and promotional programs, and changes in distributor inventory levels. While the Company does not regularly receive extensive customer inventory data, we believe the second quarter internal sales growth in the US may have been favorably impacted by the replenishment of distributor inventories during the period, after a possible contraction of distributor inventories in the first quarter of 2006.

In Europe, the internal sales growth of 5.0%, excluding precious metal content, was driven by the continued strong sales growth in the dental specialty category, partially offset by lower sales growth in the dental consumable and dental laboratory product categories. The dental laboratory product category continues to be negatively impacted by the reimbursement changes enacted in Germany during 2005, as well as a dramatic increase in the price of precious metals. As a result, patients are choosing lower cost alternatives such as non-precious metals or all ceramics. While Cercon(R), the Company's all ceramic alternative, has experienced very strong growth during this period, its growth has not offset the decline in precious metal restorations.

The internal growth of 3.5% in all other regions was largely the result of strong growth in the Asia, Canada and Australia regions primarily driven by sales growth in the dental specialty and dental consumable product categories. This strong growth was partially offset by lower sales in the Latin America, Africa and Middle East regions

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$242.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$227.3 million for the same period during 2005, an increase of \$14.9 million, or 6.5%. Gross profit, measured against sales including precious metal content, represented 51.3% of net sales in the 2006 period compared to 51.1% in the 2005 period. The gross profit for the second quarter of 2006, measured against sales, excluding precious metal content, represented 57.2% of net sales compared to 56.8% in 2005. This increase in the gross profit percentage from 2005 to 2006 was due to favorable shifts in the product and geographic mix as well as the Company's decision to close its Chicago-based pharmaceutical manufacturing facility.

#### Operating Expenses

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expense increased \$6.5 million, or 4.5%, to \$152.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2006 from \$146.4 million during the same period in 2005. SG&A expenses, measured against sales including precious metal content, decreased to 32.4% in 2006 compared to 32.9% in 2005. SG&A expenses, as measured against sales, excluding precious metal content, decreased to 36.1% in 2006 compared to 36.5% in 2005. The higher expense ratio in the 2005 period primarily resulted from higher expense levels due to costs related to the biennial International Dental Show ("IDS") that took place during the second quarter of 2005. Additionally, the lower 2006 expense ratio was favorably impacted by the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility in Chicago as well as higher sales levels in 2006. These favorable impacts on the 2006 expense ratio when compared to the



2005 expense ratio were partially offset by the recording of stock-based compensation expense as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded restructuring and other costs of \$2.6 million. The costs incurred during the quarter were primarily for additional costs related to the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility in Chicago, Illinois. These plans are expected to be fully complete by the end of 2006. The Company also incurred additional costs related to the consolidation of certain U.S. production facilities initiated in the fourth quarter of 2005 in order to better leverage the Company's resources. This plan is expected to be fully completed by the end of 2006 with anticipated remaining costs to complete of approximately \$1.0 million (See also Note 8 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements).

#### Other Income and Expenses

Net interest and other expense was \$0.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$0.7 million during the same period in 2005. The 2006 period included \$0.4 million of net interest income, \$0.6 million of currency transaction losses and \$0.3 million of other nonoperating costs. The 2005 period included \$2.3 million of net interest expense, \$1.1 million of currency transaction gains and \$0.5 million of other nonoperating costs. The change from net interest expense in 2005 to net interest income in 2006 was primarily a result of the Company's higher average cash levels, lower average debt levels and the effectiveness of the cross currency interest rate swaps designated as net investment hedges. The cross currency interest rate swaps were put into place in three parts: one part late in the first quarter of 2005, one part during the fourth quarter of 2005 and one part during the first quarter of 2006.

#### Income Taxes/Earnings

The Company's effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 increased to 31.1% from 28.0% for the same period in 2005. The effective rate for the 2006 quarter is reflective of one time tax charges of \$0.8 million, due to tax related adjustments. The effective rate for the 2005 quarter is reflective of net tax benefits of \$1.8 million from the reversal of previously accrued taxes from the settlement of prior years' tax audits.

Net income increased \$1.4 million, or 2.5%, to \$59.3 million in 2006 from \$57.9 million in 2005. Fully diluted earnings per share were \$0.37 in 2006, an increase of 5.7% from \$0.35 in 2005. Net income in the second quarter of 2006 included the after tax impact from both the expensing of stock options of \$2.9 million, or \$0.02 per diluted share, and restructuring costs of \$1.6 million, or \$0.01 per diluted share. There were no significant restructuring charges for the three months ended June 30, 2005, and stock option expense was not included in net income until January 1, 2006 upon the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

#### Operating Segment Results

In January 2006, the Company reorganized its operating group structure into three operating groups from the four groups under the prior management structure. These three operating groups are managed by three Senior Vice Presidents and represent our operating segments. Each of these operating groups covers a wide range of product categories and geographic regions. The product categories and geographic regions often overlap across the groups. Further information regarding the details of each group is presented in Note 5 of the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. The Senior Vice Presidents of each group are evaluated for performance and incentive compensation purposes on net third party sales, excluding precious metal content, and segment operating income.

#### U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa Consumable Business/Canada

Net sales, excluding precious metals content, for this group were \$162.0 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2006, a 9.4% increase compared to \$148.0 million in 2005. Internal growth was a positive 8.6% and currency translation added 0.8% to sales in 2006. Strong internal growth was shown across most geographic and product categories within this group.

Operating profit increased \$13.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$43.0 million compared to \$29.8 million in 2005. The increase was primarily related to the sales growth and the elimination of the prior year's

non-capitalized costs associated with the pharmaceutical plant in Chicago. In addition, operating profits were increased slightly by currency translation.

Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/Non-Dental

Net sales, excluding precious metal content, for this group increased \$1.8 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2006, or 1.9%, to \$95.1 million from \$93.3 million in 2005. Internal growth was 1.2% with currency translation adding 0.7%. Strong growth was shown in the non-dental businesses along with continued growth in the specialty dental product categories, offset by weakness in the Latin American business and the Australian business. The weakness in the Australian business was attributable to the impact of shortages of injectable pharmaceutical products as a result of the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility.

Operating profit was \$39.4 million during the first quarter of 2006, a \$1.1 million increase from \$38.3 million in 2005. The increase was primarily related to the strength of the dental specialty product category and continued growth of the non-dental businesses, partially offset by decreases in the Australian and Latin American businesses.

Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/Orthodontics/Japan/Asia

Net sales, excluding precious metal content, for this group were \$167.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2006, a 4.9% increase compared to \$159.9 million in 2005. Internal growth was 4.5%, currency translation deducted 0.4% from sales in 2006, and 0.8% was added through acquisitions. Significant growth occurred in the specialty dental product category and the Asian business and growth improved slightly in the Japanese business, all of which were partially offset by weakness in some products within the dental laboratory category.

Operating profit decreased \$0.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$29.4 million from \$29.8 million in 2005. The decrease in operating profits was driven primarily by the weakness in sales growth for the dental laboratory product category, offset by the growth of the other businesses in the group. In addition, operating profit was negatively impacted from currency translation.

RESULTS OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS, SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

Net Sales

The following is a reconciliation of net sales to net sales, excluding precious metal content.

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
	(in millions)	
Net Sales	\$ 903.4	\$ 851.8
Precious Metal Content of Sales	(96.5)	(82.3)
	-----	-----
Net Sales Excluding Precious Metal Content	\$ 806.9	\$ 769.5
	=====	=====

Net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2006 increased \$51.6 million, or 6.1%, from the same period in 2005 to \$903.4 million. Net sales, excluding precious metal content, increased \$37.4 million, or 4.9%, to \$806.9 million. Sales growth excluding precious metal content, was comprised of 5.8% of internal growth, negative 1.6% of foreign currency translation and 0.7% related to acquisitions. The 5.8% internal growth was comprised of 3.7% in the United States, 9.0% in Europe and 4.1% for all other regions combined.

The internal sales growth, excluding precious metal content, in the United States was driven by moderate growth in the specialty dental and dental consumable product categories, partially offset by lower sales in the dental laboratory product category. In Europe, the internal growth was driven by strong growth in the dental specialty product category and moderate growth in the

dental laboratory product category. The growth in the dental laboratory product category in 2006 was primarily related to the effect of the reimbursement issues experienced during 2005 in the German dental market. The internal growth of 4.1% in all other regions was largely the result of strong growth in the Asia and Canada regions, offset by lower sales in the Middle East/Africa region.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$462.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$436.2 million in 2005, an increase of \$26.1 million, or 6.0%. Gross profit, measured against sales including precious metal content, represented 51.2% of net sales for the first half of both 2006 and 2005. The gross profit for the first half of 2006, measured against sales, excluding precious metal content, represented 57.3% of net sales compared to 56.7% in 2005. This increase in the gross profit percentage from 2005 to 2006 was due to shifts in the product and geographic mix and the Company's decision to close its Chicago-based pharmaceutical manufacturing facility.

#### Operating Expenses

SG&A expense increased \$13.4 million, or 4.7%, to \$298.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$284.9 million in 2005. SG&A expenses, measured against sales, including precious metal content, decreased to 33.0% in 2006 compared to 33.4% in 2005. SG&A expenses, as measured against sales, excluding precious metal content, remained constant at 37.0% for the first half of both 2006 and 2005. While the expense ratio remained consistent from 2005 to 2006, the 2006 expense ratio included \$8.5 million of stock-based compensation expense as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. This increase in expenses was partially offset by the favorable impact of the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility in Chicago, the favorable impact from a stronger U.S. dollar, and higher sales levels in 2006. The favorable translation impacts were caused by weaker average foreign currency exchange rates for the first half of 2006 versus the first half of 2005 when translating the expenses from the local currencies in which the Company's subsidiaries conduct operations, into U.S. dollars. Additionally, the comparison of the 2005 expense ratio to the 2006 expense ratio was impacted by higher expense levels in 2005 related to costs associated with the Sarbanes-Oxley compliance and costs related to the biennial International Dental Show ("IDS").

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded restructuring and other costs of \$7.3 million. These costs were primarily for additional costs incurred during the first half of 2006 related to the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility in Chicago, Illinois, as well as costs related to the consolidation of certain U.S. production facilities. These plans are expected to be fully complete by the end of 2006. (See also Note 8 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements).

#### Other Income and Expense

Net interest and other (income) expense were \$(0.7) million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$0.5 million in 2005. The 2006 period included \$1.1 million of net interest income, \$0.2 million of currency transaction gains and \$0.6 million of other nonoperating costs. The 2005 period included \$6.3 million of net interest expense, \$5.9 million of currency transaction gains and \$0.1 million of other nonoperating costs. The decrease in currency transaction gains from 2005 to 2006 was primarily the result of a transaction involving the transfer of intangible assets between legal entities with different functional currencies. Exchange transaction gains or losses occur from movement of foreign currency rates between the date of the transaction and the date of final financial settlement. The change from net interest expense in 2005 to net interest income in 2006 was primarily a result of the Company's higher average cash levels, lower average debt levels and the effectiveness of the cross currency interest rate swaps designated as net investment hedges. The cross currency interest rate swaps were put into place in three parts: one part late in the first quarter of 2005, one part during the fourth quarter of 2005 and one part during the first quarter of 2006.

#### Income Taxes/Earnings

The Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2006 increased to 30.5% from 29.1% for the same period in 2005. The effective rate for the 2006 period is reflective of a tax charge of \$0.6 million due to tax

related adjustments. The effective rate for the 2005 period is reflective of a tax benefit of \$2.1 million from the reversal of previously accrued taxes from the settlement of prior years' tax audits. The 2006 year to date operating tax rate is 30.3%.

Income from continuing operations increased \$2.4 million, or 2.2%, to \$109.3 million during the first half of 2006 from \$106.9 million during the same period in 2005. Fully diluted earnings per share from continuing operations were \$0.68 through the first half of 2006, an increase of 4.6% from \$0.65 during the same period in 2005. Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 included the after tax impact from both the expensing of stock options of \$6.1 million, or \$0.04 per diluted share, and from restructuring costs of \$4.7 million, or \$0.03 per diluted share. There were no significant restructuring charges for the six months ended June 30, 2005, and stock option expense was not included in net income until January 1, 2006 upon the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

#### Operating Segment Results

##### U.S., Europe, CIS, Middle East, Africa Consumable Business/Canada

Net sales, excluding precious metal content, for this group were \$301.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006, a 4.1% increase compared to \$289.6 million in 2005. Internal growth was a positive 5.4% and currency translation deducted 1.3% from sales in 2006. While strong internal growth was shown in the European, CIS, Middle East, and African businesses, they were partially offset by soft sales growth in the U.S. and Canadian businesses. The strong growth in the European business was favorably impacted by the growth of the dental laboratory product category in 2006, which had been negatively impacted in 2005 by the effect of the reimbursement issues experienced in the German dental market.

Operating profit increased \$16.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$72.8 million compared to \$56.7 million in 2005. The increase was primarily related to the sales growth and the elimination of the prior year's non-capitalized costs associated with the pharmaceutical plant in Chicago. In addition, operating profits were decreased slightly by currency translation.

##### Australia/Latin America/Endodontics/Non-dental

Net sales, excluding precious metal content, for this group increased \$3.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006, or 2.1%, to \$184.2 million from \$180.5 million in 2005. Internal growth was 2.3% with currency translation deducting 0.2%. Strong growth was shown in the non-dental businesses along with continued growth in the specialty dental product category, offset by weakness in the Australian business. The weakness in the Australian business was due to the impact of shortages of injectable pharmaceutical products as a result of the decision to shut down the pharmaceutical manufacturing facility and weaker Latin American business sales growth.

Operating profit was \$78.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, a \$0.7 million increase from \$77.3 million in 2005. The increase was primarily related to the strength of the specialty dental product category and continued growth of the non-dental businesses, partially offset by lower operating profits in the Australian and Latin American businesses. In addition, operating profit was negatively impacted by currency translation.

##### Dental Laboratory Business/Implants/Orthodontics/Japan/Asia

Net sales, excluding precious metal content, for this group were \$323.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006, a 7.3% increase compared to \$301.4 million in 2005. Internal growth was 8.3%, currency translation deducted 2.7% from sales in 2006, and 1.7% was added through acquisitions. Significant growth occurred in the specialty dental product category and the Asian business partially offset by lower growth in the dental laboratory product category and the Japanese business.

Operating profit increased \$7.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$57.5 million from \$50.1 million in 2005. The increase in operating profits was driven primarily by the sales growth in the specialty dental product category and the Asian business. In addition, operating profit was negatively impacted from currency translation.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

As discussed in the Stock Compensation section of Note 1 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. The adoption of this pronouncement had a material impact on the Companies financial statements.

There have been no other material changes to the Company's disclosure in its 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 14, 2006.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Six Months Ended June 30, 2006

Cash flow from operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$92.7 million compared to \$65.1 million during the same period of 2005. The increase of \$27.6 million resulted primarily from higher earnings in the 2006 period and working capital changes that were not as unfavorable in the 2006 period as they were in the 2005 period. These increases were partially offset by the payment of \$23.0 million during 2006, associated with the 2005 repatriation of earnings. While net income was only \$2.4 million higher than the prior year on an as reported basis, the net income during the 2006 period includes non-cash charges of \$8.5 million related to stock-based compensation expense due to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. With regard to the working capital changes, while the impact of working capital changes during the first half of 2006 were still negative, they were less negative than the 2005 period. The working capital in the 2005 period was adversely affected by the payment of certain non-recurring liabilities in the first quarter of 2005 that were accrued as of December 31, 2004, the record low accounts receivable levels at the end of 2004 compared to more normalized levels in 2005 and above average inventory levels due to the slow sales experienced within the German markets as a result of the reimbursement changes that became effective in January of 2005.

Investing activities during the first six months of 2006 included capital expenditures of \$21.7 million. The Company expects that capital expenditures will range from \$50 million to \$60 million for the full year of 2006. Acquisition-related activity for the period ended June 30, 2006 was \$6.4 million which was primarily related to the acquisition of several small companies (see Note 4 to the Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements).

In December 2004, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program under which the Company may repurchase shares of Company stock on the open market in an amount to maintain up to 6,000,000 shares of treasury stock. In September 2005, the Board of Directors increased the authorization to repurchase shares under the stock repurchase program in an amount to maintain up to 11,000,000 shares of treasury stock. Under this program, the Company purchased approximately 4,993,000 shares during the first half of 2006 at an average price of \$29.48. As of June 30, 2006, the Company held 8,330,000 shares of treasury stock. The Company also received proceeds of \$21.2 million as a result of the exercise of 1,509,000 stock options during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

The Company's long-term borrowings increased by a net of \$113.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006. This net change included net borrowings of \$72.0 million during the first half of 2006 and an increase of \$41.6 million due to exchange rate fluctuations on debt denominated in foreign currencies and changes in the value of interest rate swaps. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company's ratio of long-term debt to total capitalization increased to 38.9% compared to 35.4% at December 31, 2005.

Under its multi-currency revolving credit agreement, the Company is able to borrow up to \$500 million through May 2010. This facility is unsecured and contains certain affirmative and negative covenants relating to its operations and financial condition. The most restrictive of these covenants pertain to asset dispositions and prescribed ratios of indebtedness to total capital and operating income plus depreciation and amortization to interest expense. At June 30, 2006, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. The Company also has available an aggregate \$250 million under two commercial paper facilities; a \$250 million U.S. facility and a \$250 million U.S. dollar equivalent European facility ("Euro CP facility"). Under the Euro CP facility, borrowings can be denominated in Swiss francs, Japanese yen, Euros, British pounds sterling and U.S. dollars. The multi-currency revolving credit facility serves as a back-up

to these commercial paper facilities. The total available credit under the commercial paper facilities and the multi-currency facility in the aggregate is \$500 million with \$109.7 million outstanding under the multi-currency facility and \$121.7 million outstanding under the commercial paper facilities at June 30, 2006.

The Company also has access to \$51.7 million in uncommitted short-term financing under lines of credit from various financial institutions. The lines of credit have no major restrictions and are provided under demand notes between the Company and the lending institutions. At June 30, 2006, \$7.6 million is outstanding under these short-term lines of credit.

At June 30, 2006, the Company had total unused lines of credit related to the revolving credit agreement and the uncommitted short-term lines of credit of \$312.7 million.

At June 30, 2006, the Company held \$74.9 million of precious metals on consignment from several financial institutions. These consignment agreements allow the Company to acquire the precious metal at market rates at a point in time which is approximately the same time and for the same price as alloys are sold to the Company's customers. In the event that the financial institutions would discontinue offering these consignment arrangements, and if the Company could not obtain other comparable arrangements, the Company may be required to obtain third party financing to fund an ownership position in the required precious metal inventory levels.

The Company's cash increased \$40.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$475.4 million. In the first half of 2006, the Company had net borrowings under long term facilities of \$72.0 million and repurchased \$146.9 million of treasury stock. The Company continued to maintain significant cash balances during the first half of 2006 rather than pre-pay debt, as a result of pre-payment penalties that would be incurred in retiring both the debt and the related interest rate swap agreements. Additionally, the Company has not repaid this debt due to the low cost of the debt, net of earnings on the cash. The Company has \$560.5 million of long-term borrowings coming due for payment during the next twelve months. The Company intends to repay these debt obligations with cash and/or funds available to the Company under the revolving credit facility. Any portion of the debt that is repaid through the use of the revolving credit facility will be contractually due in May 2010, upon the expiration of the facility, thus effectively converting the maturity of the debt beyond June of 2007. The Company currently intends to effectively refinance \$180.4 million of the long-term borrowings coming due in 2006 through use of the revolving credit facility.

There have been no material changes to the Company's scheduled contractual cash obligations disclosed in its 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 14, 2006. The Company expects on an ongoing basis, to be able to finance cash requirements, including capital expenditures, stock repurchases, debt service, operating leases and potential future acquisitions, from the funds generated from operations and amounts available under its existing credit facilities.

## NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

### New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes", which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in the financial statements, the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective beginning January 1, 2007 with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on the financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets". SFAS No. 156, which is an amendment to SFAS No. 140, addresses the recognition and measurement of separately recognized servicing

assets and liabilities and provides an approach to simplify the efforts to obtain hedge-like (offset) accounting. SFAS No. 156 is effective for financial years beginning after September 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted. As we do not currently have servicing assets recorded on our balance sheet, SFAS No. 156 will not have any impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments", which eliminates the exemption from applying SFAS No. 133 to interests in securitized financial assets so that similar instruments are accounted for similarly regardless of the form of the instruments. SFAS No. 155 also allows the election of fair value measurement at acquisition, at issuance, or when a previously recognized financial instrument is subject to a remeasurement event. Adoption is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the application of this standard to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued an exposure draft that seeks to make improvements to SFAS No. 132R, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans". The proposed amendment would not alter the basic approach to measuring plan assets, benefit obligations, or net periodic benefit cost (expense). Major changes to SFAS No. 132R proposed in the amendment include 1) the recognition of an asset or liability for the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit plan, 2) the recognition of actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs and credits in other comprehensive income, 3) measurement of plan assets and benefit obligations as of the employer's balance sheet date, rather than at interim measurement dates as currently allowed, and 4) disclosure of additional information concerning actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs and credits recognized in other comprehensive income. The amendment's requirement for public companies to recognize on their balance sheet the asset or liability associated with the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit pension plan would take effect for years ending after December 15, 2006. Companies would be required to synchronize their measurement dates to the end of their fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting SFAS No. 132R on the financial statements. r December 31, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the exposure draft on its financial statements.

#### Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no significant material changes to the market risks as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ending December 31, 2005.

#### Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. The Company is currently centralizing its transaction accounting processing in Europe into our European Shared Services Center and expects all European locations to be complete by the end of 2006.

## OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

DENTSPLY and its subsidiaries are from time to time parties to lawsuits arising out of their respective operations. The Company believes it is unlikely that pending litigation to which DENTSPLY is a party will have a material adverse effect upon its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 1995, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice initiated an antitrust investigation regarding the policies and conduct undertaken by the Company's Trubyte Division with respect to the distribution of artificial teeth and related products. On January 5, 1999, the Department of Justice filed a Complaint against the Company in the U.S. District Court in Wilmington, Delaware alleging that the Company's tooth distribution practices violate the antitrust laws and seeking an order for the Company to discontinue its practices. The trial in the government's case was held in April and May 2002 and subsequently, the Judge entered a decision that the Company's tooth distribution practices do not violate the antitrust laws. The Department of Justice appealed this decision and the Third Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the decision of the District Court. The Company's petition to the U.S. Supreme Court asking it to review the Third Circuit Court decision was denied. The District Court, upon the direction of the Court of Appeals, has issued an injunction preventing DENTSPLY from taking action to restrict its tooth dealers from adding new competitive teeth lines. This decision relates only to the distribution of artificial teeth in the U.S. and, notwithstanding the outcome of this case, the Company is confident that it can continue to develop this business.

Subsequent to the filing of the Department of Justice Complaint in 1999, several private party class actions were filed based on allegations similar to those in the Department of Justice case, on behalf of laboratories, and denture patients in seventeen states who purchased Trubyte teeth or products containing Trubyte teeth. These cases were transferred to the U.S. District Court in Wilmington, Delaware. The private party suits seek damages in an unspecified amount. The Court has granted the Company's Motion on the lack of standing of the laboratory and patient class actions to pursue damage claims. The Plaintiffs in the laboratory case appealed this decision to the Third Circuit and the Court upheld the decision of the District Court in dismissing the Plaintiffs' damages claims, with the exception of allowing the Plaintiffs to pursue a damage claim based on a theory of resale price maintenance agreements between the Company and its tooth dealers. The Plaintiffs' petition to the U.S. Supreme Court asking it to review this decision of the Third Circuit was denied. Also, private party class actions on behalf of indirect purchasers were filed in California and Florida state courts. The California and Florida cases have been dismissed by the Plaintiffs following the decision by the Federal District Court Judge issued in August 2003.

On March 27, 2002, a Complaint was filed in Alameda County, California (which was transferred to Los Angeles County) by Bruce Glover, D.D.S. alleging, inter alia, breach of express and implied warranties, fraud, unfair trade practices and negligent misrepresentation in the Company's manufacture and sale of Advance(R) cement. The Complaint seeks damages in an unspecified amount for costs incurred in repairing dental work in which the Advance(R) product allegedly failed. The Judge entered an Order granting class certification, as an Opt-in class (this means that after Notice of the class action is sent to possible class members, a party will have to determine if they meet the class definition and take affirmative action in order to join the class) on the claims of breach of warranty and fraud. In general, the Class is defined as California dentists who purchased and used Advance(R) cement and were required, because of failures of the cement, to repair or reperform dental procedures for which they were not paid. The Notice of the class action was sent on February 23, 2005 to the approximately 29,000 dentists licensed to practice in California during the relevant period and a total of 166 dentists have opted into the class action. The plaintiffs have appealed to the Appellate Court to convert the claim to an opt-out claim from its current status as an opt-in claim. The Advance(R) cement product was sold from 1994 through 2000 and total sales in the United States during that period were approximately \$5.2 million. The Company's primary level insurance carrier has confirmed coverage for the breach of warranty claims in this matter up to one million dollars, their asserted policy limits. Litigation has been initiated with the Company's primary and excess insurance carriers regarding the level and coverage of their respective insurance policies for this case.



On June 18, 2004, Marvin Weinstat, DDS and Richard Nathan, DDS filed a class action suit in San Francisco County, California alleging that the Company misrepresented that its Cavitron(R) ultrasonic scalers are suitable for use in oral surgical procedures. The Complaint, which has been amended twice, seeks a recall of the product and refund of its purchase price to dentists who have purchased it for use in oral surgery. The Court certified the case as a class action on June 15, 2006 with respect to the breach of warranty and unfair business practices claims. The class is defined as California dental professionals who purchased and used one or more Cavitron ultrasonic scalers for the performance of oral surgical procedures on their patients. The Company is presently preparing to file a motion for decertification.

Item 1A - Risk Factors

There have been no significant material changes to the risks factors as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ending December 31, 2005.

Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Securities and Use of Proceeds

In December 2004, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program under which the Company may repurchase shares of Company stock on the open market in an amount to maintain up to 6,000,000 shares of treasury stock. In September 2005, the Board of Directors increased the authorization to repurchase shares under the stock repurchase program in an amount to maintain up to 11,000,000 shares of treasury stock. During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the Company had the following activity with respect to this repurchase program:

Period	Total Number Of Shares Purchased	Total Cost Of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Number Of Shares That May be Purchased Under The Share Repurchase Program
(in thousands, except per share amounts)				
April 1-30, 2006	577.5	\$ 16,422.7	\$ 28.44	6,063.1
May 1-31, 2006	3,156.6	93,926.7	29.76	4,224.4
June 1-30, 2006 (1)	965.9	28,759.4	29.77	2,669.7
	-----	-----		
	4,700.0	\$ 139,108.8	\$ 29.60	
	=====	=====		

Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

(a) On May 10, 2006, the Company held its 2006 Annual Meeting of stockholders.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) The following matters were voted upon at the Annual Meeting, with the results indicated:

1. Election of Class I Directors:

Nominee	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Wendy L. Dixon	67,225,153	1,199,256
Leslie A. Jones	61,303,651	7,120,758
Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr.	67,104,552	1,319,857

2. Proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the financial statements of the Company and to audit the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the year ending December 31, 2006:

Votes For:	68,325,603
Votes Against:	53,810
Abstentions:	44,996



CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of DENTSPLY International Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), We, Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr., Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company and William R. Jellison, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to the best of our knowledge and belief:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company as of the date of the Report.

/s/ Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr.  
Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr.  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chairman of the Board of Directors

/s/ William R. Jellison  
William R. Jellison  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

Date: July 31, 2006

Section 302 Certifications Statement

I, Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Form 10-Q of DENTSPLY International Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal controls over financial reporting, or caused such internal controls over financial reporting to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 31, 2006

/s/ Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr.  
Gerald K. Kunkle, Jr.  
Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer

Section 302 Certifications Statement

I, William R. Jellison, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Form 10-Q of DENTSPLY International Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal controls over financial reporting, or caused such internal controls over financial reporting to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 31, 2006

/s/ William R. Jellison  
William R. Jellison  
Senior Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer